

every boxes in order to compare different characteristics, following the *Macciò et al. (2005)* criteria. Virgo, Fornax and the Local Supercluster are the main structures that can be observed.

• High resolution Local Group simulation  $N_p=5.2 \ 10^7$   $M_p=2.03 \ 10^6 \ h^-M_0$  Model ACDM (WMAP3) A region of 5 h<sup>-1</sup>Mpc radius around the Local Group candidate was resimulated with 2048<sup>3</sup> effective particles. Some properties of the Local Volume were analyzed.

# Very high resolution Local Group simulation

 $N_p{=}6.4~10^{7}$   $M_p{=}2.54~10^{5}\,h^{-1}M_{\odot}$  Model:  $\Lambda CDM$  (WMAP3) Resimulated region of 2 h  $^{-1}{\rm Mpc}$  radius with 40963 effective particles. Detailed analysis of substructure of the Local

# The numerical Local Group









ined ACDM low

oup in the very high

Dark Matter Density Profiles

Density profiles of the members of the Local Group were fitted using different models:



correlated with the global acceleration with a non-negligible scatter, however, there is not correlation between local accelerations and peculiar velocities



of the LG ned ACDM low r

### Conclusions

ng gravitational field inside the Local Universe from pairwise Newtonian s does not seem to be valid approximation because it neglects two main contributions

Effects of matter in substructures and in diffuse medium



Tidal field from external

mass distributions

l accelerations computed from the dark matter es inside the LV (small points) and halos (solid ) in which accelerations have been computed by the ee of all particles inside them (thick points).

Local Volume and Local Group (marked by prange circles). Important external structures can orange circles). Important ex be seen close to the LV border

## **Future prospects**

These constrained simulations are a natural laboratory to study, within a cosmological framework, the dynamics of the matter. Incorporating baryons to our simulations will allow to study the formation of disks, the history of stellar formation and others important properties that can be compared with the most current observations.

## References

Einasto J., Haud U., 1989, A&A, 223, 89 Jing Y. P., Suto Y., 2000, ApJ, 529, L69 Karachentsevi. D., 2005, AJ, 129, 178 Kypin, A et al., 2003, ApJ, 566, 19 Macciò, A. V., Governato, F., & Horelsou, C., 2005, MNRAS, 359, 941 Matrinez-Vaquero, L. A., Yepes, G., Hoffman, Y., 2007, MNRAS, in press, arXiv:0704.3385 Navaro J. F., Frenk C. S., White S. D. M., 1995, MNRAS, 275, 720 Navaro J. F., Et al., 2004, MNRAS, 349, 1039 Reiprich, T. H., Bohringer, H. 2002, ApJ, 567, 716 Springel, Y. 2005, ApJ, 624, 1105 Torny, J. L. et al., 2001, ApJ, 546, 681 Willick J. A. et al., 1997, ApJ, 109, 333 Willick J. A. et al., 1987, ApJ, 109, 333